<u>Original</u>

¹Professor of Medical Physics, Department of Medical Physics and Medical Engineering, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran ²The Center for Radiological Research, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran ³Pathology Resident, Pathology Department, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran ⁴Nuclear Engineering Department, School of Mechanical Engineering, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

⁵Faculty Member of Medical Engineering, Department of Medical Physics and Medical Engineering, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

*Corresponding author: M. M. Movahedi, Department of Medical Physics and Medical Engineering, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, Zand Blvd, Shiraz, Iran E-mail: movahedim@ sums.ac.ir Tel: +98-711-234-9332 Fax: +98-711-234-9332

Are Radiation Exposure Levels Used in Cardiology Dangerous?

Mortazavi S. M. J.^{1,2}, Omidifar N.³, Faghihi R.⁴, Mehdizadeh S.⁴, Masoumi S.², Hashemi S. M.², Haghani M.², Nowrouz-Alizadeh F.⁴, Movahhedi M. M.*⁵

Abstract

Background: The number of interventional cardiology procedures performed has increased rapidly over the past years. While these procedures help physicians avoid using highly complicated invasive methods, it usually leads to high levels of exposure to ionizing radiation of both patients and cardiologist. The dose received by the cardiologist during interventional cardiology procedures is determined by a wide range of factors such as clinical problems to be treated, the interventional technique to be used, the x-ray system employed, experience of the cardiologist, the protective measures taken as well as their use during the examination.

Objective: To measure the dose received by 22 cardiologists, radiology residents, radiologic technologists and nurses during interventional cardiology examinations performed in various teaching hospitals affiliated to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

Methods: The radiation dose was basically measured by thermoluminescence dosimeters (TLD). Other personal dosimetry devices such as film badges and pen dosimeters were also used. According to IAEA guidelines, the dosimeter should be worn under the lead apron (at waist level) for estimating the effective dose but due to high workload in interventional radiology and since the areas of the body which are not protected by the apron receive much higher radiation doses, in this study an additional dosimeter was used over the apron (at collar level).

Results: The mean±SD monthly dose recorded by dosimeters of cardiologists over the apron at collar level was 912.1±224.4 (range: 660.8–1176.4) μ Gy; hands received doses up to 9674.4 μ Gy month⁻¹ as recorded by TLD chips.

Conclusion: The annual effective dose received by interventional cardiologists who use standard lead aprons hardly reaches the occupational exposure dose limit of 20 mSv/y.

Keywords

Interventional cardiology; Radiation; Dose; Cardiologists; Radiation protection

Introduction

ver the past years, the number of interventional cardiology (IC) procedures performed has increased rapidly [1-3]. It is widely reported that interventional cardiology is associated with high exposure to radiation [4-7]. Over the past years, the workload and complexity of procedures in interventional cardiology have led to great public concerns regarding the safety of these procedures [8]. While interventional procedures make only 1% of the medical applications of ionizing radiation, the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) has reported that the contribution of

www.jbpe.org

these procedures to collective dose is 10% [9]. On account of these facts, interventional cardiology in comparison with the procedures that need invasive surgeries and relatively long hospitalization, contributes significantly to radiation exposure of the population. As interventional cardiologists receive the highest radiation exposure among health care professionals, effective radiation safety training programs are an essential part of every cardiologist's safety [7]. The radiation dose received by the cardiologists during interventional cardiology procedures is influenced by a wide range of factors such as clinical problems to be treated, the interventional cardiology techniques used, type and performance of x-ray systems employed, operator experience, training level, the protective measures taken as well as their use during the examination. The main objective of this study was to measure the dose received by 22 cardiologists, radiology residents, radiologic technologists and nurses during interventional cardiology examinations performed in various teaching hospitals affiliated to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, southern Iran.

Materials and Methods

In this study, radiation dose measurements were carried out using thermoluminescent dosemeters (TLD) of LiF:Mg,Ti (TLD100). Other conventional personal dosimetry devices such as film badges and pen dosimeters were also used. According to the guidelines of international regulatory bodies, the dosimeter should be worn under the lead apron (at waist level) for estimating the effective dose; however, due to high workload in interventional radiology and because the areas of the body which are not protected by the apron receive much higher radiation doses, in this study an additional dosimeter was used over the apron (at collar level). For each participant, nine TLD chips were used (3 chips were placed on the right wrists, 3 under the lead apron and 3 over the apron).

We used the NCRP method for calculating the effective dose [10] as follows:

$$E = 0.5H_w + 0.025H_N$$
 (NCRP Report No. 122)

where E, H_{W} , and H_{N} are effective dose, personal dose equivalent at waist or chest under the apron and personal dose equivalent at neck over the apron, respectively. For TLD dosimetry, TLD-100 chips were annealed at 400 °C for one hour and 100 °C for 20 hours. After exposing the chips to x-ray during the study period, the chips were readout in a Harshaw 4500 TLD reader.

Results

The mean±SD monthly dose recorded by dosimeters of cardiologists over the apron at collar level was 912.1±224.4 (range: 660.8-1176.4) µGy. Using NCRP equation, the effective dose of cardiologists participated in this study ranged from 16.52 to 29.41 µSv month⁻¹. Furthermore, the mean±SD cardiologists hands' monthly dose recorded by dosimeters was 4967.7±3247.7 (range: 904.4-9674.4) µGy. Surprisingly, the radiology residents doses were much higher than that of the cardiologists. The mean±SD monthly dose recorded by dosimeters of radiology residents was 1326.3±1643.4 µGy (ranged from non-detectable, *i.e.*, $<30 \mu$ Gy to 3164.8 µGy). In case of radiologic technologists who were employed in cardiology departments, the mean±SD monthly dose recorded by dosimeters was 294.2±374.8 µGy (ranged from non-detectable, *i.e.*, $<30 \mu$ Gy to 1048 μ Gy). Finally, the mean±SD monthly dose recorded by dosimeters of nurses who were employed in cardiology departments was only 52.5±98.4 µGy (ranged from non-detectable, *i.e.*, <30 μ Gy to 200 μ Gy) (Table 1).

Discussion

Exposure to ionizing radiation leads to stochastic effects (no threshold dose) and deterministic effects (threshold dose). In this study, the mean±SD monthly dose recorded Mortazavi S. M. J., Omidifar N., et al.

lable 1: The mean±SD monthly dose recorded by dosimeters of cardiologists, radiology resi-
dents, radiologic technologists and nurses over the apron at collar level

The state of the second s

Work Groups	Monthly Dose Over the Lead Apron (µGy)		Monthly Dose of Hands (µGy)	
	Mean±SD	Range	Mean±SD	Range
Cardiologists	912.1±224.4	660.8 – 1176.4	4967.7±3247.7	904.4–9674.4
Radiology residents	1326.3±1643.4	ND-3164.8	NA	NA
Radiologic Technologists	294.2±374.8	ND-1048	NA	NA
Nurses	52.5±98.4	ND-200	NA	NA
ND: Non-detectable (<30 µGy)				

NA: Non-applicable

by dosimeters of cardiologists over the apron at collar level was 912.1±224.4 (range: 660.8-1176.4) uGy. Furthermore, hands doses up to 9674.4 µGy month⁻¹ were recorded by TLD chips. Findings of this study showed that the annual effective dose of interventional cardiologists who use standard lead aprons hardly exceeds the occupational exposure dose limit of 20 mSv/v. The effective dose calculated for an interventional cardiologist who uses one or two personal dosimeters does not reflect the radiation dose received by unprotected areas of the body such as eyes. It is worth mentioning that the radiation susceptibility of different organs to radiation varies significantly. Studies conducted in the last decade showed that radiation-associated lens opacities occur at much lower doses than the ICRP estimated thresholds [11]. Therefore, interventional cardiologists may develop cataract [12, 13] and brain cancer [7, 14] at a higher incidence.

Conflict of Interest: None

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by a grant from Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (SUMS) Vice Chancellor for Research. This study was also partially supported by the Center for Research on Radiation Sciences (CRRS), SUMS.

References

- 1. Trianni A, Chizzola G, Toh H, *et al.* Patient skin dosimetry in haemodynamic and electrophysiology interventional cardiology. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry 2005*;**117:**241-6.
- 2. Picano E, Santoro G, Vano E. Sustainability in the cardiac cath lab. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 2007*;**23:**143-7.
- WHO. Efficacy and radiation safety in interventional radiology. Geneva: World HealthOrganization, 2000.
- Ciraj-Bjelac O, Rehani MM, Sim KH, et al. Risk for radiation-induced cataract for staff in interventional cardiology: is there reason for concern? Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2010;76:826-34.
- Andreassi MG, Foffa I, Manfredi S, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in XRCC1, OGG1, APE1 and XRCC3 DNA repair genes, ionizing radiation exposure and chromosomal DNA damage in interventional cardiologists. *Mutat Res 2009*;666:57-63.
- 6. Jacob S, Donadille L, Maccia C, *et al.* Eye Lens Radiation Exposure to Interventional Cardiologists: A Retrospective Assessment of Cumulative Doses. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry 2012.*
- Roguin A, Goldstein J, Bar O. Brain tumours among interventional cardiologists: a cause for alarm? Report of four new cases from two cities and a review of the literature. *EuroIntervention* 2012;7:1081-6.
- Vano E, Gonzalez L, Fernandez JM, et al. Occupational radiation doses in interventional cardiology: a 15-year follow-up. Br J Radiol 2006;79:383-8.
- NRPB. Patient dose reduction in diagnostic radiology. Report of the RCR and NRPB, Documents of the NRPB: National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB) and Royal College of Radiologists (RCR), 1990.
- 10. NCRP. Use of Personal Monitors to Estimate

Effective Dose Equivalent and Effective Dose to Workers for External Exposure to Low LET Radiation. Bethesda, 1995.

- 11. Shore RE, Neriishi K, Nakashima E. Epidemiological Studies of Cataract Risk at Low to Moderate Radiation Doses: (Not) Seeing is Believing. *Radiat Res* 2010.
- 12. Jacob S, Boveda S, Bar O, et al. Interventional cardiologists and risk of radiation-induced cata-

Radiation dose in interventional cardiology

ract: Results of a French multicenter observational study. *Int J Cardiol* 2012.

- Vano E, Kleiman NJ, Duran A, et al. Radiation cataract risk in interventional cardiology personnel. *Radiat Res* 2010;**174:**490-5.
- Kuon E, Birkel J, Schmitt M, Dahm JB. Radiation exposure benefit of a lead cap in invasive cardiology. *Heart* 2003;89:1205-10.