International Journal of Nutrition Sciences

Journal Home Page: ijns.sums.ac.ir

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Relationship between Diet Quality, Sleep Quality, and Shiftwork in Clinical and Non-Clinical Healthcare Workers Participating in Cohort Study of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Employees, Shiraz, Iran

Seyed Jalil Masoumi^{1,2,3}, Fatemeh Shafiee², Sanaz Jamshidi⁴, Ali Mohammad Keshtvarz Hesam Abadi⁵, Sahand Behzadi-Azad⁶, Zahra Mousavi-Shirazi-Fard^{1,2*}

- 1. Nutrition Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- 2. Department of Clinical Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- 3. Gastroenterohepatology Research Center, Department of Clinical Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- 4. Center for Cohort Study of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Employees, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- 5. Department of Biostatistics, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- 6. Student Research Committee, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Diet quality Sleep quality Shiftwork Healthcare workers

ABSTRACT

Background: Since little is known about the correlation between dietary habits and sleep quality in healthcare professionals, the current study was performed to determine the relationship between diet quality, sleep quality, and shiftwork in clinical and non-clinical healthcare workers participating in Cohort Study of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Employees, Shiraz, Iran.

Methods: In a cross-sectional preliminary research, data of 3375 participants from Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Employees Health Cohort Study (SUMS EHCS) were enrolled. The work schedules of participant were classified into fixed and rotating shifts. Sleep quality and dietary intake were evaluated using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Questionnaire (PSQI) and a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). To assess dietary scores, we computed the healthy eating index (HEI) and dietary diversity score (DDS). Results: Among participants, 75% were engaged in fixed shiftwork, while 25% were involved in rotating shiftwork. Notably, 34% of clinical occupational group were on rotating shifts when compared to 20% of nonclinical occupational group (p < 0.0001). After adjusting for confounding factors, non-clinical healthcare workers demonstrated a higher DDS score, but poorer sleep quality compared to clinical healthcare workers (p < 0.0001and p < 0.0001, respectively). Additionally, years of employment were associated with higher HEI scores and diminished sleep quality (p < 0.05). Conclusion: Our study indicated that non-clinical healthcare personnel exhibited higher DDS scores; while simultaneously experiencing lower sleep quality compared to their clinical colleagues. Furthermore, the duration of employment was linked to elevated HEI scores and reduced sleep quality.

*Corresponding author: Zahra Mousavi-Shirazi-Fard, PhD; Department of Clinical Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

Tel: +98-71-37258099 Fax: +98-71-37257288

Email: Shirazifard1393@gmail.com

Received: July 8, 2025 Revised: October 2, 2025 Accepted: October 9, 2025

Please cite this article as: Masoumi SJ, Shafiee F, Jamshidi S, Keshtvarz Hesam Abadi AM, Behzadi-Azad S, Mousavi-Shirazi-Fard Z. The Relationship between Diet Quality, Sleep Quality, and Shiftwork in Clinical and Non-Clinical Healthcare Workers Participating in Cohort Study of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Employees, Shiraz, Iran. Int J Nutr Sci. 2025;10(4): doi:

Introduction

A significant number of healthcare workers operate on a shift basis (1). Shift workers are at a higher risk of chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular diseases, gastrointestinal diseases, type 2 diabetes mellitus, osteoporosis, and even cancer (2, 3). The balance between sleep, nutrition, and physical activity contributes to circadian rhythm physiology and is greatly disturbed in shift workers, which increases the risk of obesity and metabolic dysfunction (3). These conditions negatively influence the workers' health service efficacy which can directly put the lives of patients in danger and impose a significant cost on the healthcare system (4). Shift work may also contribute to unfavorable lifestyle changes including dietary habits and sleeping patterns (3, 5).

The studies examining the relationship between shift work and diet have stated that shift work may adversely affect the timing and pattern of eating (frequency, duration, and chrono-nutrition), energy consumption distribution over the day, and the quality of the diet (2, 6). Moreover, previous researches among nurses, as one of the largest populations working in the health care profession, have demonstrated that night shift nurses have deficient diet quality such as a lower number of main meals per day and later timing of the final meal. Additionally, it was shown that night shift workers consumed more sweets and snacks and more fatty foods at breakfast after a night shift (3, 7). These changes in eating behaviors can result in poor diet quality, which is associated with obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, osteoporosis, dental diseases, and cancers; it may even affect the economy (8-11).

Sleep disorders are also prevalent among night shift employees, such as nurses who work rotating shifts (3, 12). A study on the workforce indicates that unmanageable and erratic occupational stress in the workplace, which is more frequent among clinical staff than among non-clinical ones, can induce sleep disruptions among employees (13). These sleep issues may negatively impact the health of nurses and can lead to an increase in professional errors and a decline in job satisfaction (14, 15). Inadequate sleep is accompanied with an increased risk of developing obesity and chronic diseases such as diabetes, heart disease, and hypertension (6, 16). The underlying mechanism connecting sleep quality and obesity is uncertain. However, one of the proposed processes is poor diet quality acting as a mediator (16, 17).

Based on what we know, studies on diet and sleep quality of healthcare workers on rotating shifts are limited regarding cultural diversity which vastly affects the dietary behaviors (18). Furthermore, there has been no study that examines the mentioned correlation while applying the distinction between clinical and non-clinical sectors in the healthcare worker population. Thus, this study was conducted to assess the relationship between the diet quality, sleep quality, and shiftwork in clinical and non-clinical healthcare workers.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was carried out as a part of the initial phase of the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Employees Health Cohort Study (SUMS EHCS). SUMS-EHCS is a sub-branch of the Persian Cohort (PERSIAN), which seeks to track and assess the long-term health conditions of employees at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. They were aged between 20 and 70 years and visited at the cohort center in Motahari Clinic, affiliated to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences fro, August 2018 to October 2019. The study protocol and procedures were thoroughly explained to each participant, and their consent to participate was obtained through a written agreement. The Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences approved our study with the code of IR.SUMS.SCHEANUT.REC.1402.090.

Data were collected from 3,375 participants (men=1511 and women=1863) sourced from the initial phase of SUMS EHCS from August 2018 to October 2019. One participant was excluded due to incomplete dietary data. We categorized work schedules into two primary types of fixed day shifts and rotating shifts. A fixed day shift was defined as working exclusively during the day, while rotating shifts were further divided into (a) regular rotating shifts, characterized by a structured alteration between shifts, and (b) irregular rotating shifts. Also, participants were divided into clinical and non-clinical groups based on their occupational classifications. The clinical group comprised physicians, nurses, auxiliary nurses, and staff from radiology, operating rooms, emergency services, laboratories, physiotherapy, the Central Sterilization Room (CSR), and pharmacies. Nonclinical personnel included those in administrative roles, security, service and facility management, laundry, and kitchen operations.

Structured questionnaires were utilized during face-to-face interviews to gather demographic information (gender, marital status, education level, and lifestyle factors), occupational history, current job characteristics, work duration, and educational background. Anthropometric indices (height, weight, waist and hip circumferences) were evaluated by an experienced nutritionist. Also, sleep quality and dietary intake were assessed through the Pittsburgh

Sleep Quality Questionnaire (PSQI) and food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). The participants' weight (kg) and height (cm) were assessed; while standing, utilizing standard stadiometers and scales. Waist circumference (WC) was measured at the midpoint between the lower ribs and the iliac crest, whereas hip circumference (HC) was determined at the widest point around the buttocks. From these data, the following metrics were derived including body mass index (BMI) (calculated as body weight divided by the squared height, in kg/m²) and WHR (WC divided by HC).

To compute dietary scores, nutrition experts gathered dietary data by a valid and reliable semi-quantitative FFQ consisting of 121 items through face-to-face interviews (19). This questionnaire evaluated the quantity and frequency of food consumption among participants over the past year, categorizing the responses into daily, weekly, or monthly frequencies. The nutritional values of the food items were obtained from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) food composition table (FCT). In cases where local foods were absent from the USDA FCT, the Iranian FCT was employed. Ultimately, the collected data were converted into grams using Nutritionist IV software (version 7.0; Nsquared computing, Salem, OR, USA).

Since the components and evaluation criteria for HEI-2020 were completely consistent with those of HEI-2015 (20), the assessment of diet quality comprises 13 components. Nine sufficiency components, which included total fruit, whole fruit, total vegetables, greens and legumes, whole grains, dairy, total protein sources, seafood, plant protein, and fatty acids and recommended for increased consumption; additionally, four moderate components included refined grains, sodium, the percentage of energy derived from added sugars, and the percentage of energy from saturated fatty acids, which should be restricted. The total HEI-2020 score varied from 0, indicating no adherence, to 100, signifying full adherence (21).

The DDS was determined using the methodology initially established by Kant *et al.* (22). Five primary food groups were identified (bread and grains, vegetables, fruits, meats, and dairy) in accordance with the classifications outlined in the food guide pyramid by the USDA. These groups were further categorized into 23 distinct subgroups. The bread/grain category comprised seven subgroups, including refined bread, biscuits, macaroni, whole bread, cornflakes, rice, and refined flour. In addition, the vegetable category was similarly divided into seven subgroups including vegetables, potatoes, tomatoes, other starchy vegetables, legumes, yellow vegetables,

and green vegetables. Also, fruits were classified into two subgroups of whole fruits and fruit juices. The meat category included four subgroups of red meat, poultry, fish, and eggs, while the dairy category consisted of three subgroups of milk, yogurt, and cheese. To qualify as a consumer of a specific food group, an individual must consume at least one-half serving within a single day, as per the quantity criteria established by the food guide pyramid. Each food group was assigned a maximum score of 2, resulting in a total DDS that ranged from 0 to 10 (23).

The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) is the most commonly utilized questionnaire for evaluating sleep quality, and it has a valid and reliable version available in Iran (24). It consisted of 19 items in 7 sections including subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep efficiency, sleep disturbance, use of sleep medication, and daytime dysfunction. Each section was evaluated using a four-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 to 3, where 0 indicated no occurrence in the past month, 1 signified less than once per week, 2 represented once or twice per week, and 3 denoted three or more times per week. The overall score could vary from 0 to 21, with higher scores reflecting a decline in sleep quality.

The documented data underwent analysis using SPSS version 25 (version 25.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative data were expressed as mean and standard deviation, whereas qualitative data were presented in terms of frequency and percentage. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to evaluate the normality of the data. To compare quantitative variables, we used ANOVA and Independent t-test or its non-parametric equivalent Mann-Whitney U test. Chi-square test was used to compare qualitative variables. Linear regression was used to measure the association between independent and dependent factors. A significance threshold of 0.05 was considered for the analysis.

Results

Demographic and occupational characteristics of the participants were shown in Table 1. A total of 2571 (\approx 75%) participants were on fixed shiftwork and 843 (\approx 25%) on rotating shiftwork. The mean age of the participants was 46.81 \pm 6.97 years and the mean BMI was 26.76 \pm 4.17 (kg/m²). Of all the participants, 44.2% of women and 55.8% of men were on rotating shiftwork and 90% had tertiary education. About 34% of the participants in the clinical occupational groups had rotation shifts, while in the non-clinical occupational group, only 20% had rotating shifts (p<0.0001). Comparison of energy, nutrient intakes, HEI-2020,

| Table 1: General char | acteristics of the participa | ints based on s | shiftwork. | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Variable | Total | | P value | | | |
| | | N=3374 | Fixed | Regular | Irregular | _ |
| | | | (N=2531) | rotating | rotating | |
| | 1 | | | (N=801) | (N=42) | |
| Age (year) | | 46.81 ± 6.97 | 46.97 ± 6.95 | 46.39 ± 7.01 | 45.19±7.33 | 0.138 |
| BMI (kg/m^2) | Total | 26.76 ± 4.17 | 26.75 ± 4.24 | 26.76 ± 3.95 | 27.42 ± 3.97 | 0.586 |
| | <25 | 1178 (34.9) | 890 (35.2) | 274 (34.2) | 14 (33.3) | 0.688 |
| | 25-30 | 1574 (46.7) | 1168 (46.1) | 388 (48.4) | 18 (42.9) | |
| | >30 | 622 (18.4) | 473 (18.7) | 139 (17.4) | 10 (23.8) | |
| WHR | | 0.92 ± 0.06 | 0.91 ± 0.06 | 0.92 ± 0.07 | 0.92 ± 0.06 | 0.230 |
| Gender | Women | 1863 (55.2) | 1413 (55.8) | 429(53.6) | 21(50) | 0.420 |
| | Men | 1511 (44.8) | 1118 (44.2) | 372 (46.4) | 21(50) | |
| Education | Illiterate and elementary | 69 (2) | 59 (2.3) | 10 (1.2) | 0 | 0.252 |
| | Diploma and less | 271 (8) | 207 (8.2) | 62 (7.7) | 2 (4.8) | |
| | Bachelor and Master of | 3034 (90) | 2265 (89.5) | 729 (91) | 40 (95.2) | |
| | Science | | | | | |
| Marital status | Single | 481 (14.2) | 367 (14.5) | 110 (13.7) | 4 (9.5) | 0.780 |
| | Married | 2732 (81) | 2042 (80.7) | 653 (81.5) | 37 (88.1) | |
| | Divorce/widow/other | 61 (4.8) | 122 (4.8) | 38 (4.7) | 1 (0.6) | |
| Work duration (year) | | 17.05±6.44 | 17.04 ± 6.46 | 17.13 ± 6.41 | 15.74±6.17 | 0.395 |
| Smoking | Yes | 618 (18.3) | 470 (18.6) | 145 (18.1) | 3 (7.1) | 0.162 |
| - | No | 2756 (81.7) | 2061 (81.4) | 656 (81.9) | 39 (92.9) | |
| Alcohol use | Yes | 144 (4.3) | 111 (4.4) | 33 (4.1) | 0 | 0.367 |
| | No | 3226 (95.7) | 2417 (95.6) | 767 (95.9) | 42 (100) | |
| Occupational groups | Non-clinical | 2317 (68.7) | 1831 (79) | 454 (19.6) | 32 (1.4) | <0.0001* |
| | Clinical | 1057 (31.3) | 700 (66.2) | 347 (32.8) | 10 (1) | |

Data were expressed as mean±SD or frequency (percentage), SD: Standard deviation, BMI: Body mass index, WHR: Waist to hip ratio, *P value <0.05.

and dietary diversity score (DDS) according to clinical and non-clinical groups and shift work status was shown in Table 2. The average energy and carbohydrate intake in the non-clinical group was significantly higher than the clinical group (mean difference= 83.87 ± 25.21 , p=0.002 and mean difference= 21.70 ± 4.37 , p<0.0001, respectively).

Total HEI score was not significantly different between clinical and non-clinical groups. However, the average intake of some HEI components comprising whole fruits (mean difference= 0.06 ± 0.01 , p<0.0001), total fruits (mean difference= 0.17 ± 0.03 , p<0.0001), dairy products (mean difference=0.41±0.09, p<0.0001), total protein foods (mean difference=0.14±0.03, p<0.0001), sea foods and plant protein (mean difference= 0.21 ± 0.04 , p<0.0001), greens and beans (mean difference= 0.05 ± 0.02 , p=0.033), and total vegetables (mean difference=0.05±0.01, p<0.0001) was significantly lower in the non-clinical group than in the clinical group, and the average intake of whole grains (mean difference= 0.40 ± 0.13 , p=0.002), added sugars (mean difference= 0.30 ± 0.08 , p=0.001), and saturated fats (mean difference= 0.31 ± 0.06 , p=0.001) was significantly higher in the non-clinical group than in the clinical group.

Total DDS was significantly lower in the nonclinical group compared to the clinical group (mean difference= 0.31 ± 0.06 , p<0.0001). Also, the average score of four groups of DDS including fruits (mean difference= 0.13 ± 0.03 , p<0.0001), vegetables (mean difference= 0.08 ± 0.01 , p<0.0001), dairy (mean difference= 0.05 ± 0.023 , p=0.01), and meats (mean difference= 0.05 ± 0.02 , p=0.004) was significantly lower in the non-clinical group than in the clinical group. In the clinical group, there was no significant difference between energy, nutrient intake, HEI, and DDS scores among fixed and rotating shiftwork. However, in the non-clinical group, the average protein (mean difference= 3.14 ± 1.39 , p=0.025) and fat (mean difference= 2.35 ± 1.17 , p=0.045) intake among the rotating shiftwork were significantly higher than the fixed ones, and the average fruit intake among the rotating shiftwork was significantly lower than the fixed ones (mean difference= 0.09 ± 0.04 , p=0.049). Nevertheless, in the non-clinical group, there was no significant difference between fixed and rotating shiftwork in the energy, other nutrients intake, and HEI and DDS scores.

Table 3 shows the comparison of sleep quality based on occupational groups and shift work. Total PSQI score (mean difference=0.05±0.15, *p*<0.0001),

Table 2: Comparison of energy, nutrient intakes, HEI-2020, and DDS according to clinical and non-clinical group and shift work status

| Variable | | Clinical (N=1055) | | | | Non-clinica | P value | | |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|------------------|
| | Total | Fixed | Rotating | P value | Total | Fixed | Rotating | P value | • |
| Energy | 2116.25± | 2098.44± | 2151.17± | 0.201 | 2200.12± | 2184.99± | 2257.11± | 0.066 | 0.002* |
| | 634.22 | 637.98 | 626.20 | | 769.06 | 774.66 | 745.61 | | |
| Carbohydrate | $329.55 \pm$ | $325.88 \pm$ | $336.76 \pm$ | 0.117 | $351.25 \pm$ | $349.25 \pm$ | $358.82 \pm$ | 0.177 | <0.0001* |
| • | 106.85 | 107.02 | 106.27 | | 138.97 | 141.10 | 126.78 | | |
| Protein | $73.10 \pm$ | $72.59 \pm$ | $74.10\pm$ | 0.326 | $74.83 \pm$ | $74.17 \pm$ | $77.31 \pm$ | 0.025* | 0.062 |
| | 23.70 | 23.56 | 23.95 | | 27.42 | 27.44 | 27.20 | | |
| Fat | $61.74 \pm$ | 61.61± | 61.99± | 0.794 | $60.29 \pm$ | 59.79± | 62.15± | 0.045* | 0.086 |
| | 22.23 | 22.22 | 22.27 | | 23.05 | 22.28 | 25.69 | | |
| Fiber | $23.89 \pm$ | 23.75± | $24.18 \pm$ | 0.435 | 23.32± | $23.24 \pm$ | $23.62 \pm$ | 0.405 | 0.079 |
| | 8.47 | 8.54 | 8.34 | | 9.01 | 9.07 | 8.82 | | |
| Total HEI score | | $68.44\pm$ | $68.66 \pm$ | 0.702 | $68.62 \pm$ | $68.72 \pm$ | 68.24± | 0.314 | 0.756 |
| | 8.83 | 8.89 | 8.70 | | 9.32 | 9.36 | 9.15 | | |
| Whole fruits | $4.94\pm$ | $4.94\pm$ | $4.94\pm$ | 0.741 | $4.88\pm$ | $4.89\pm$ | $4.85\pm$ | 0.172 | <0.0001* |
| | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.33 | | 0.53 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| Total fruits | 4.67± | 4.66± | 4.67± | 0.875 | 4.50± | 4.52± | 4.42± | 0.049* | <0.0001* |
| 10001110110 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.076 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 1.03 | 0.0.5 | 0.0001 |
| Whole grains | 5.96± | 5.96± | 5.96± | 0.988 | 6.36± | 6.39± | 6.24± | 0.393 | 0.002* |
| Where graning | 3.59 | 3.62 | 3.55 | 0.500 | 3.52 | 3.51 | 3.57 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| Dairy | 8.37± | 8.40± | 8.31± | 0.594 | 7.97± | 8.01± | 7.83± | 0.181 | <0.0001* |
| Dully | 2.37 | 2.33 | 2.44 | 0.571 | 2.60 | 2.58 | 2.64 | 0.101 | -0.0001 |
| Total protein | 3.49± | 3.50± | 3.46± | 0.502 | 3.35± | 3.36± | 3.30± | 0.229 | <0.0001* |
| foods | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.502 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.22) | -0.0001 |
| Sea foods and | 3.31± | 3.31± | 3.31± | 0.995 | 3.10± | 3.12± | 3.03± | 0.151 | <0.0001* |
| plant protein | 1.21 | 1.22 | 1.20 | 0.773 | 1.22 | 1.23 | 1.18 | 0.131 | ٠٥.0001 |
| Greens and | 4.80± | 4.79± | 4.81± | 0.538 | 4.75± | 4.74± | 4.76± | 0.51 | 0.033* |
| beans | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.550 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.51 | 0.055 |
| Total | 4.94± | 4.93± | 4.95± | 0.528 | 4.88± | 4.88± | 4.88± | 0.887 | <0.0001* |
| vegetables | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.520 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.007 | ٠٥.0001 |
| Fatty acids | 6.14± | 6.07± | 6.27± | 0.389 | 6.20± | 6.18± | 6.27± | 0.601 | 0.64 |
| ratty acids | 3.46 | 3.50 | 3.38 | 0.309 | 3.45 | 3.48 | 3.34 | 0.001 | 0.04 |
| Refined grains | 2.17± | 2.19± | 2.13± | 0.775 | 2.11± | 2. 14± | 2.01± | 0.396 | 0.591 |
| Reffficu graffis | 3.16 | 3.15 | 3.17 | 0.773 | 3.16 | 3.15 | 3.18 | 0.590 | 0.371 |
| Sodium | 5.10 6.09± | 6.13± | 6.01± | 0.637 | 6.38± | 6.37± | 5.16 6.44± | 0.757 | 0.061 |
| Soululli | 4.24 | 4.20 | 4.31 | 0.037 | 4.29 | 4.30 | 4.25 | 0.737 | 0.001 |
| Added sugars | 4.62± | 4.58± | 4.70± | 0.437 | 4.93± | 4.91± | 4.99± | 0.493 | 0.001* |
| Added sugars | 4.02± 2.27 | 4.36± 2.27 | 4.70± 2.25 | 0.437 | 4.93± 2.44 | 4.91± 2.45 | 4.99± 2.37 | 0.493 | 0.001 |
| SFA | 9.12± | 9.08± | 2.23 9.21± | 0.153 | 2.44 9.30± | 9.31± | 2.37 9.27± | 0.634 | 0.001* |
| SFA | 9.12± 1.41 | 9.08± 1.45 | 9.21± 1.34 | 0.133 | 9.30± 1.30 | 9.31± 1.29 | 9.27± 1.35 | 0.034 | 0.001 |
| T-4-1 DDC | 5.60± | | | 0.700 | | | | 0.012 | <0.0001* |
| Total DDS | | 5.59± 1.72 | 5.62± 1.58 | 0.799 | 5.29± 1.77 | 5.29± 1.76 | 5.30± 1.79 | 0.912 | <0.0001 |
| D 1 | 1.68 | | | 0.404 | | | | 0.002 | 0.062 |
| Bread-grains | 1.02± | 1.01± | 1.04± | 0.404 | 1.05± | 1.05± | 1.05± | 0.882 | 0.062 |
| E'4 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.545 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.162 | <0.0001 * |
| Fruits | 1.09± | 1.08± | 1.11± | 0.545 | 0.95± | 0.967± | .909± | 0.162 | <0.0001* |
| 37 4.11 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.171 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.255 | <0.0001# |
| Vegetables | 1.09± | 1.07± | 1.12± | 0.171 | 1.01± | 0.996± | 1.03± | 0.255 | <0.0001* |
| ъ. | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.116 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.0- | 0.0444 |
| Dairy | 1.21± | 1.23± | 1.17± | 0.116 | 1.15± | 1.15± | 1.15± | 0.97 | 0.014* |
| | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0 = | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.61 | | |
| Meats | 1.18± | 1.19± | 1.18± | 0.747 | 1.12± | 1.11± | 1.15± | 0.245 | 0.004* |
| | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.53 | | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.57 | | |

Data were expressed as mean±SD, SD: Standard deviation, HEI: Healthy eating index, SFA: Saturated fats, DDS: Dietary diversity score, *P value <0.05.

use of sleeping medication (mean difference= 0.07 ± 0.02 , p<0.0001), and daytime dysfunction (mean difference= 0.11 ± 0.02), p<0.0001) in the clinical group were significantly higher than in the non-clinical group. In the non-clinical group, only the average score of the sleep latency component was significantly higher in rotating shiftwork than in fixed ones (mean difference= 0.13 ± 0.05 , p=0.012). In the clinical group, only the average score of the daytime dysfunction component was significantly higher in rotating shiftwork compared to fixed ones (mean difference= 0.11 ± 0.05 , p=0.042).

Table 4 displays the correlation between occupational characteristics, diet, and sleep quality. According to the results obtained in linear regression, after adjusting demographic variables, no significant association was observed between shift status and HEI, DDS, and sleep quality variables, while a

significant direct correlation was found between the occupational group and DDS (β =0.31, p<0.001) and sleep quality (β =0.54, p<0.001). Thus, non-clinical healthcare workers had higher DDS scores and more diversity in their dietary intake but worse sleep quality compared to clinical healthcare workers. However, there was a significant direct relationship between the duration of working with HEI (β =0.06, p=0.018) and the quality of sleep (0.04, p<0.0001); thus, the participants had higher sleep quality (worse sleep quality) with increasing work duration.

Discussion

This study provided evidence about the correlation between dietary quality, sleep quality, and shift work among clinical and non-clinical healthcare staff. Considering diet quality, although HEI score did not significantly differ between clinical and non-

| Table 3: Comparison of PSQI according to clinical and non-clinical groups and shift work status. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Variable | Clinical (N=1055) | | | | Non-clinical (N=2316) | | | | P value |
| | Total | Fixed | Rotating | P value | Total | Fixed | Rotating | P value | |
| Subjective | 1.94 ± 0.62 | 1.94 ± 0.64 | 1.94 ± 0.59 | 0.835 | 1.92 ± 0.63 | 1.92 ± 0.63 | 1.94 ± 0.65 | 0.657 | 0.564 |
| sleep quality | | | | | | | | | |
| Sleep latency | 0.98 ± 1.02 | 1.00 ± 1.03 | 0.94 ± 0.99 | 0.362 | 0.98 ± 0.99 | 0.96 ± 0.98 | 1.08 ± 1.01 | 0.012* | 0.997 |
| Sleep duration | 1.89 ± 0.97 | 1.90 ± 0.96 | 1.89 ± 0.99 | 0.888 | 1.88 ± 0.99 | 1.87 ± 0.99 | 1.92 ± 0.97 | 0.305 | 0.722 |
| Habitual sleep | 1.13 ± 2.51 | 1.15 ± 2.99 | 1.10 ± 1.11 | 0.775 | 1.03 ± 1.09 | 1.03 ± 1.11 | 1.05 ± 1.06 | 0.796 | 0.12 |
| efficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Sleep | 0.94 ± 0.57 | 0.96 ± 0.56 | 0.90 ± 0.59 | 0.072 | 0.94 ± 0.55 | 0.94 ± 0.56 | 0.91 ± 0.52 | 0.335 | 0.846 |
| disturbances | | | | | | | | | |
| Use of sleeping | 1.20 ± 0.66 | 1.20 ± 0.66 | 1.21 ± 0.67 | 0.776 | 1.13 ± 0.57 | 1.13 ± 0.58 | 1.11 ± 0.53 | 0.509 | <0.001* |
| medication | | | | | | | | | |
| Daytime | 1.75 ± 0.81 | 1.72 ± 0.81 | 1.83 ± 0.80 | 0.042* | 1.64 ± 0.76 | 1.63 ± 0.76 | 1.68 ± 0.77 | 0.151 | <0.0001* |
| dysfunction | | | | | | | | | |
| Total PSQI | 10.07 ± 4.42 | 10.04 ± 4.68 | 10.12 ± 3.89 | 0.803 | 9.54 ± 3.55 | 9.49 ± 3.56 | 9.71 ± 3.52 | 0.231 | <0.001* |
| score | | | | | | | | | |

Data were expressed as mean±SD, SD: Standard deviation, PSQI: Pittsburgh sleep quality index, *P value <0.05.

| Table 4: Associations between occupational groups, shift work, work duration, diet, and sleep quality. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|------|---------------|----------|------|---------|----------|
| Dependent Variables | Tota | DDS | | | Sleep quality | | | | |
| Independent | β | 95% CI | P value | β | 95% CI | P value | β | 95% CI | P value |
| Variables | | | | | | | | | |
| Shift status | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed (N=2531) | Reference | | | | | | | | |
| | group | | | | | | | | |
| Rotating (N=843) | -0.22 | -0.939, | 0.539 | 0.06 | -0.074, | 0.375 | 0.24 | -0.063, | 0.121 |
| | | 0.491 | | | 0.198 | | | 0.538 | |
| Occupational group | | | | | | | | | |
| Clinical (N=1055) | Reference | | | | | | | | |
| | group | | | | | | | | |
| Non-clinical (N=2316) | -0.1 | -0.771, | 0.761 | 0.31 | 0.181, | <0.0001* | 0.54 | 0.254, | <0.0001* |
| | | 0.564 | | | 0.434 | | | 0.814 | |
| Work duration (year) | 0.06 | 0.010, | 0.018* | 0.01 | -0.008, | 0.083 | 0.04 | 0.022, | <0.0001* |
| | | 0.106 | | | 0.010 | | | 0.062 | |

β: Regression coefficients, CI: Confidence interval, linear regression adjusted for variables including age, gender, body mass index (BMI), waist to hip ratio (WHR), education, marital status, smoking, alcohol use. *P value <0.05.

clinical individuals, DDS status was remarkably higher among the clinical group compared to the non-clinical group. With our focus on sleep quality, sleeping medication intake, daytime dysfunction, and total PSQI had a greater score in the clinical group compared to the non-clinical group. In addition, comparing participants with rotating shifts and fixing shifts among the clinical staff indicated that those with rotating shifts had higher daytime dysfunction. However, in nonclinical staff, sleep latency showed elevated scores in individuals with rotating shifts. However, after performing confounder adjustments, non-clinical healthcare personnel had higher DDS scores but worse sleep quality compared to clinical healthcare workers. Also, working years were correlated with greater HEI scores and lower sleep quality.

One significant focus within health promotion research has been the workplace setting. The literature provides evidence supporting that a remarkable portion of the adult population is employed (76.4%) and spent approximately onethird of their time in their work environment. In this regard, the workplace can be regarded as a crucial factor influencing health. According to the British Dietetic Association, nearly 60% of an individual's daily food consumption takes place at work (25). Since we only found greater DDS in non-clinical staff, it indicates that they may experience greater job stability as well as more consistent placement and scheduling in their roles. Consequently, they probably maintain a more diverse diet in comparison to clinical staff. On the other hand, a study revealed that employees with high levels of work-related physical activity did not experience a decrease in cardiometabolic risk or demonstrate healthier dietary habits, unlike those who reported high levels of leisure-time physical activity (26). In this regard, we suggest evaluating physical activity status, both work-related and leisure time, to facilitate the derivation of additional conclusions.

Sleep disorders can directly and indirectly impact the employee job performance, leading to reduced productivity and resulting in financial losses and economic detriment (27). Although our primary findings reported higher PSQI scores and poorer sleep quality in clinical health workers, the data after adjustments suggest that non-clinical staff had lower sleep quality compared to clinical ones. In contrast to our findings, the research by Najafimehr *et al.* revealed that employees within the healthcare departments experienced poorer sleep quality compared to their non-clinical counterparts (13). Also, a study revealed that sleep disturbance is prevalent among healthcare professionals, especially due to their stress levels,

work environment, and irregular working hours (28). It should be mentioned that individuals engaged in shift work typically experience less sleep per day compared to those with standard work schedules (29). Furthermore, investigations into the sleep quality of nurses, caregivers and others have revealed that they often suffer from inadequate sleep quality (30-33). Nevertheless, our findings were against previous investigations which highlight the necessity to address burnout. This condition is recognized as a result of prolonged occupational stress and represents a significant health risk factor for the workforce that includes various dimensions including depletion of emotional resources, a sense of detachment from work, and a lack of self-esteem (34). It was mentioned that there was a distinct correlation between burnout and disrupted sleep (35). Since we did not assess the parameters related to burnout and stress level in our participants, it is suggested to be considered in future studies.

According to the results, years of working were associated with favorable HEI scores and lower sleep quality. We hold the view that practical work experience enhances healthcare employees' awareness of different health-related factors. One significant aspect of this awareness is the recognition of the diet quality. Since retirement age has coincidentally risen and individuals remain in the workforce for a longer duration and at more advanced ages, it coincides with a period when agerelated non-communicable diseases are becoming increasingly prevalent (36). On the other hand, previous studies have also indicated a reciprocal relationship between sleep-related complications and chronic non-communicable diseases, which might be increased by age (37, 38). Also, sleep quality can be linked to many factors including advanced age

There are some possible limitations related to our study. Firstly, due to its cross-sectional design, it is impossible to draw causal inferences from our findings. Secondly, FFQ may not have sufficient accuracy due to recall bias and sleep status due to self-report. However, this study possesses several strengths. For instance, there have been no previously published studies on this topic, based on the distinction between clinical and non-clinical healthcare staff and large sample size.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study showed that non-clinical healthcare staff had higher DDS scores, but experienced poorer sleep quality as compared to their clinical counterparts. Additionally, the number of working years was associated with increased

HEI scores and diminished sleep quality. These findings reinforce the need for further studies.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran and also Center for Development of Clinical Research of Nemazee Hospital and Dr. Nasrin Shokrpour for editorial assistance.

Funding

None.

Authors' Contribution

ZMSF conceptualized and supervised the study. FS and AMKHA analyzed and interpreted the data. SJ, FS and SBA wrote the manuscript. SJM supervised data collecting. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

- 1 Roman P, Perez-Cayuela I, Gil-Hernández E, Rodriguez-Arrastia M, Aparicio-Mota A, Ropero-Padilla C, et al. Influence of shift work on the health of nursing professionals. Journal of Personalized Medicine. 2023;13(4):627. DOI: 10.3390/jpm13040627. PMID: 37109012
- Peplonska B, Kaluzny P, Trafalska E. Rotating night shift work and nutrition of nurses and midwives. Chronobiology international. 2019;36(7):945-54. DOI: 10.1080/07420528.2019.1602051. PMID: 31056960
- 3 Helvaci G, Nur Aslan Çin N, Canbulat Ş, Yardimci H. Evaluating diet and sleep quality of shift and non-shift nurses using three-factor Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index and healthy eating index-2015. Sleep Medicine Research. 2020;11(2). DOI: 10.17241/smr.2020.00682.
- 4 Mohanty A, Kabi A, Mohanty AP. Health problems in healthcare workers: A review. Journal of family medicine and primary care. 2019;8(8):2568-72. DOI: 10.4103/jfmpc. jfmpc_431_19. PMID: 31548933
- 5 Nea FM, Kearney J, Livingstone MBE, Pourshahidi LK, Corish CA. Dietary and lifestyle habits and the associated health risks in shift workers. Nutrition research reviews. 2015;28(2):143-66. DOI: 10.1017/S095442241500013X. PMID: 26650243
- 6 Beebe D, Chang JJ, Kress K, Mattfeldt-Beman M. Diet quality and sleep quality among day and night shift nurses. Journal of nursing

- management. 2017;25(7):549-57. DOI: 10.1111/jonm.12492. PMID: 28695685
- 7 Hemiö K, Puttonen S, Viitasalo K, Härmä M, Peltonen M, Lindström J. Food and nutrient intake among workers with different shift systems. Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2015;72(7):513-20. DOI: 10.1136/ oemed-2014-102624. PMID: 25896332
- 8 Organization WH. Technical report series: The Organization; 2003.
- Makhtoomi Z, Alijani S, Shateri Z, Rajabzadeh-dehkordi M, Askarpour M, Rezaianzadeh A, Ghoddusi Johari M, Nouri M, Faghih S. The Association between Low-Carbohydrate Diet Score and Conventional Risk Factors of Cardiovascular Diseases in Iranian Adult Population: A Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Nutr Sci. 2025;10(2):253-260. doi: 10.30476/ijns.2025.103550.1335.
- 10 Andisheh Tadbir A, Mehrabani D, Heydari ST. Primary malignant tumors of orofacial origin in Iran. J Craniofac Surg. 2008 Nov;19(6):1538-41. doi: 10.1097/SCS.0b013e31818eeccb. PMID: 19098546.
- 11 Mehrabani D, Tabei, SZ Heydari ST, Shamsina SJ, Shokrpour N, Amini M, Masoumi SJ, Julaee H, Farahmand M, Manafi A. Cancer Occurrence in Fars Province, Southern Iran. Iran Red Crescent Medl J. 2008;10(4):314-322.
- 12 Boivin DB, Boudreau P. Impacts of shift work on sleep and circadian rhythms. Pathologie Biologie. 2014;62(5):292-301. DOI: 10.1016/j. patbio.2014.08.001. PMID: 25246026
- 13 Najafimehr H, Soori H, Naghavi N, Bahri RSJ, Yasami MT. The Effect of Sleep Quality on Mental Health Among Clinical and Non-Clinical Staffs. Acta Medica Iranica. 2021.
- 14 Kim MS, Kim J-R, Park K-S, Kang YS, Choe SPM. Associations between sleep quality, daytime sleepiness, with perceived errors during nursing work among hospital nurses. Journal of Agricultural Medicine and Community Health. 2013;38(4):229-42.
- 15 Sun Q, Ji X, Zhou W, Liu J. Sleep problems in shift nurses: A brief review and recommendations at both individual and institutional levels. Journal of nursing management. 2019;27(1):10-8. DOI: 10.1111/jonm.12656. PMID: 30171641
- 16 Hur S, Oh B, Kim H, Kwon O. Associations of diet quality and sleep quality with obesity. Nutrients. 2021;13(9):3181. DOI: 10.3390/ nu13093181. PMID: 34579058.
- 17 Aghakhani L, Khosravinia D, Asadi A, Akbarzadeh M. Examination of Eating Patterns, Sleep Quality, and Anxiety among Normal-

- Weight and Overweight Female Students in Shiraz, Iran. Int J Nutr Sci. 2025;10(2):290-297. doi: 10.30476/ijns.2025.101953.1311.
- 18 Bonnell EK, Huggins CE, Huggins CT, McCaffrey TA, Palermo C, Bonham MP. Influences on dietary choices during day versus night shift in shift workers: a mixed methods study. Nutrients. 2017;9(3):193. DOI: 10.3390/nu9030193. PMID: 28245625.
- 19 Eghtesad S, Hekmatdoost A, Faramarzi E, Homayounfar R, Sharafkhah M, Hakimi H, et al. Validity and reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire assessing food group intake in the PERSIAN Cohort Study. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2023;10:1059870. DOI: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1059870. PMID: 37599697.
- 20 Reedy J, Lerman J, Herrick K, Shams-White M, Zimmer M, Mathieu KM, et al. Measuring diet quality across the lifespan: introducing the new healthy eating index-toddlers-2020 and healthy eating index-2020. Current Developments in Nutrition. 2022;6:392. DOI: 10.1093/cdn/nzac054.047.
- 21 Zeinalabedini M, Nasli-Esfahani E, Esmaillzadeh A, Azadbakht L. How is healthy eating index-2015 related to risk factors for cardiovascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2023;10:1201010. DOI: 10.3389/ fnut.2023.1201010. PMID: 37305085.
- 22 Kant AK, Schatzkin A, Harris TB, Ziegler RG, Block G. Dietary diversity and subsequent mortality in the first national health and nutrition examination survey epidemiologic follow-up study. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 1993;57(3):434-40. DOI: 10.1093/ajcn/57.3.434. PMID: 8382446.
- 23 Farhangi MA, Jahangiry L. Dietary diversity score is associated with cardiovascular risk factors and serum adiponectin concentrations in patients with metabolic syndrome. BMC Cardiovascular Disorders. 2018;18:1-6. DOI: 10.1186/s12872-018-0807-3. PMID: 29665770.
- 24 Farrahi Moghaddam J, Nakhaee N, Sheibani V, Garrusi B, Amirkafi A. Reliability and validity of the Persian version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI-P). Sleep and Breathing. 2012;16:79-82. DOI: 10.1007/s11325-010-0478-5. PMID: 21614577.
- 25 Panchbhaya A, Baldwin C, Gibson R. Improving the dietary intake of health care workers through workplace dietary interventions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Advances in Nutrition. 2022;13(2):595-620. DOI: 10.1093/advances/nmab120. PMID: 34591091
- 26 Feig EH, Levy DE, McCurley JL, Rimm EB,

- Anderson EM, Gelsomin ED, et al. Association of work-related and leisure-time physical activity with workplace food purchases, dietary quality, and health of hospital employees. BMC Public Health. 2019;19:1-10. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-019-7944-1. PMID: 31775714
- 27 Sadeghniiat-Haghighi K, Akbarpour S, Forouzan N, Amirifard H, Najafi A. Importance of Sleep Health in the Non-communicable Diseases and its Outcomes on the health Care System. Occupational Medicine. 2023.
- 28 Ertel KA, Berkman LF, Buxton OM. Socioeconomic status, occupational characteristics, and sleep duration in African/Caribbean immigrants and US White health care workers. Sleep. 2011;34(4):509-18. DOI: 10.1093/sleep/34.4.509. PMID: 21461330.
- 29 Hafner M, Stepanek M, Taylor J, Troxel WM, Van Stolk C. Why sleep matters—the economic costs of insufficient sleep: a cross-country comparative analysis. Rand health quarterly. 2017;6(4). PMID: 28983434.
- 30 Sepehrmanesh Z, Mousavi G, Saberi H, Saei R. Sleep quality and related factors among the nurses of the Hospital of Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Iran. International Archives of Health Sciences. 2017;4(1):17-21.
- 31 Kunzweiler K, Voigt K, Kugler J, Hirsch K, Bergmann A, Riemenschneider H. Factors influencing sleep quality among nursing staff: Results of a cross sectional study. Applied Nursing Research. 2016;32:241-4. DOI: 10.1016/j. apnr.2016.08.007. PMID: 27969035.
- 32 Zeng L-N, Yang Y, Wang C, Li X-H, Xiang Y-F, Hall BJ, et al. Prevalence of poor sleep quality in nursing staff: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Behavioral sleep medicine. 2020;18(6):746-59. DOI: 10.1080/15402002.2019.1677233. PMID: 31672062.
- 33 Mirzaei MR, Sharifi MH, Mahboobi S, Shahinfar H, Karimi M, Eftekhari MH. The Relationship between Glycemic Index, Social Support and Sleep Quality in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Int J Nutr Sci. 2025;10(2):126-135. doi: 10.30476/ijns.2025.100845.1286.
- 34 Schmidt SL, da Silva Cunha B, Tolentino JC, Schmidt MJ, Schmidt GJ, Marinho AD, et al. Attention Deficits in Healthcare Workers with Non-Clinical Burnout: An Exploratory Investigation. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2024;21(2):239. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph21020239. PMID: 38397729.
- 35 Vela-Bueno A, Moreno-Jiménez B, Rodríguez-

- Muñoz A, Olavarrieta-Bernardino S, Fernández-Mendoza J, De la Cruz-Troca JJ, et al. Insomnia and sleep quality among primary care physicians with low and high burnout levels. Journal of psychosomatic research. 2008;64(4):435-42. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2007.10.014. PMID: 18374744
- 36 Glympi A, Chasioti A, Bälter K. Dietary interventions to promote healthy eating among office workers: a literature review. Nutrients. 2020;12(12):3754. DOI: 10.3390/nu12123754. PMID: 33297328
- 37 Lima MG, Malta DC, de Oliveira Werneck A, Szwarcwald CL, de Oliveira Souza DB, Gomes CS, et al. Effect of chronic non-communicable diseases (CNCDs) on the sleep of Brazilians during the COVID-19 pandemic. Sleep medicine. 2022;91:205-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.sleep.2021.02.052. PMID: 33736945.
- 38 Basnet S, Merikanto I, Lahti T, Männistö S, Laatikainen T, Vartiainen E, et al. Associations of common chronic non-communicable diseases and medical conditions with sleep-related problems in a population-based health examination study. Sleep Science. 2016;9(3):249-54. DOI: 10.1016/j. slsci.2016.11.003. PMID: 28123670
- 39 Klink ME, Quan SF, Kaltenborn WT, Lebowitz MD. Risk factors associated with complaints of insomnia in a general adult population: influence of previous complaints of insomnia. Archives of internal medicine. 1992;152(8):1634-7. PMID: 1497397.
- 40 Hedayati A, Homayuon M, Mobaracky A, Mehrabani D, Masoumi SJ. Lithium Chloride, Ketogenic Diet and Stem Cell Transplantation in Treatment of Bipolar Disorder. Int J Nutr Sci. 2024;9(1):80-82. doi: 10.30476/ IJNS.2024.99601.1250.