From Algorithms to Empathy: Exploring Chatbots as Tools for Medical Student Training

Zahra Karimian[1], Farzaneh Ghaznavi[2]*

[1]*Department of E-learning in Medical Sciences, Virtual School and Center of Excellence in E-learning, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran*
[2]*Social Ageing (SAGE) Futures Lab, Edith Cowan University, Perth, Australia*

ABSTRACT

This commentary examines the emerging role of conversational chatbots in medical education, particularly in terms of educating learners in empathy. Empathy is a key professional skill that impacts deeply both patient outcomes and patient satisfaction; yet, research indicates that its acquisition may decline in clinical training. Chatbots, as Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven relational agents, offer safe and repeatable scenarios for practicing empathic communication, providing immediate feedback and opportunities for reflection without risk to real patients. However, their ability to manifest real empathy remains limited, raising ethical doubts about superficial learning. Other issues include cultural versatility, linguistic differences, and equal access to technology. Through a critical review of current literature and burgeoning evidence, this commentary highlights both potential benefits and limitations of chatbots as educational tools. The commentary advocates a systematic, evidence-based integration into medical curricula as a means of reinforcing traditional training while also protecting the inherent human aspect of empathy in care.

Keywords: Generative Artificial Intelligence, Chatbot, Medical, Education, Empathy, Patient Simulation

Chatbots and Empathy in Medical Education

Conversational chatbots, being one of the fast-growing fields of Artificial Intelligence (AI), have been increasingly implemented in healthcare and medical education (1). Such systems, equipped with natural language processing and adaptability, also pose a key question: will such systems be worthwhile in terms of educating medical students in empathy?

Empathy is a key aspect of medical professionalism, impacting markedly upon clinical outcomes, patient contentment, and therapeutic relationship quality (2, 3). However, studies conclude that medical students' empathy tends to decline across their clinical studies, tantamount to a strong need for new educational interventions (4).

Traditionally, human-machine interaction began with programs like ELIZA in the 1960s, showing that even simple algorithmic responses could garner relational interaction with users (5, 6). The early findings paved the way for building modern-day intelligent chatbots, now employing deep learning strategies and complex natural language processing to emulate human-like interactions. The "relational agents" now have the ability to provide students with real patient encounters in a controlled setting (7).

Recent systematic reviews show progressive chatbot implementation in healthcare contexts, especially in relation to patient education, care of chronic conditions, and mental health support; studies, though, are limited by small sample sizes and quasi-experimental designs (8-10).

Teaching of empathy, particularly by chatbot interventions, has yielded encouraging early results. Initial research indicates that students who practice with chatbots pretending to be patients might improve their ability to recognize and respond to signs of emotion, at times being offered more correct feedback compared to human-aided practice exercises (11). Chatbots, in general, also can offer repeated, controlled practice environments in which students might develop both nonverbal and verbal empathic responses, such as demonstrations of understanding, acknowledgment of feelings, and offering reassurance (12). Such studies, though, often take place in controlled environments such as labs and there is inconsistency in the use of validated measurement tools, such as Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy (JSE) or Consultation and Relational Empathy (CARE) measure, that makes it more complicated to evaluate them (2, 3).

One of the more under-recognized yet critical areas is the chatbot contribution to "emotional learning." Unlike traditional medical education, which often emphasizes theoretical models and communication guidelines, empathy requires an experiential understanding and a reflective affective involvement. Chatbots allow students to practice challenging encounters—including breaking bad news, reducing anxious patients, or addressing sensitive psychosocial issues—without fear of negative consequences that might come with actual patient encounters (12, 13). Through repeated exposure, it can develop mature empathic functions and enhance confidence in trying clinical encounters.

Nonetheless, doubts about chatbot-mediated empathy remain. Human empathy includes affective experience, moral judgment, and cognitive insight, while AI programs replicate linguistic structures based on algorithmic abstraction. This can induce an "illusion of empathy," in that the user experiences understanding and compassion that the program is unable to administer authentically (13, 14). Moral considerations are crucial: teachers should guarantee that students do not acquire shallow empathic habits nor over-depend on AI-mediated encounters at the expense of authentic patient interaction. In addition, the question of emotional transfer arises; it has yet to be scientifically demonstrated whether training with artificial agents effectively translates to interactions with human patients (4, 13).

Equal access is also a critical issue. Effective chatbot implementation is heavily dependent upon strong technological support, that is, good internet connectivity, suitable devices, and software literacy. In both developing and developed countries, resource disparities could induce differential educational opportunities, thereby generating a digital divide among learners (14).

Linguistic and cultural issues are also paramount. Chatbots are typically created by using English-based datasets that emulate Western cultural norms, which do not apply in non-Western cultures or in multilingual learning environments. Differences in communicative practices across cultures can affect how effectively chatbots foster empathy in their interactions (8).

Despite such hindrances, potential gains are significant. Chatbots can offer different scenarios of patients with immediate feedback, so that students can practice a variety of techniques of empathetic communication. Incorporating "serious games" and computer-based simulations creates engaging, dynamic educational experiences in which empathy can be accurately rehearsed, evaluated, and fortified (15). Such methodologies were particularly useful during the COVID-19 pandemic period, when availability of in-person clinical environments was limited, thereby sustaining continued improvement of communication skill instruction (9).

Future experimental studies should utilize rigorous methodologies, such as randomized controlled trials, to systematically compare balanced instructional models that integrate chatbot interactions with traditional in-class learning. Longitudinal studies also are instrumental in ascertaining durability of empathic capability over time. Additionally, research about nonverbal communication cues—observed vocal inflection, hesitations, facial, and body gestures—may enrich perceptions of chatbot interaction as real (13). Regulatory and moral guidelines should also be kept current relative to advancements in technology in order to support proper deployment, reduce over-dependency, and ensure that AI work as a complement, not a replacement, of human-based instruction.

In brief, chat-based conversational bots are a rich resource in cultivating empathy in medical students. In spite of the prevalence of methodological, ethical, and cultural challenges, taking a critical and evidence-based stance can render their implementation in medical education more feasible. Educators can inculcate empathic skills while maintaining the core human element of care by using such technologies in a judicious manner.

Abbreviations
AI: Artificial Intelligence
JSE: Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy
CARE: Consultation and Relational Empathy

Acknowledgments
The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of AI tools in improving the manuscript's language and grammar of a few parts, while affirming that these tools did not impact the scientific content.

Authors' Contribution
Z.K conceptualized the commentary and wrote the initial draft. F.Gh critically revised the manuscript and contributed to refining the arguments. Both authors approved the final version and are accountable for its content.

Conflict of Interest
The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the publication of this manuscript. Zahra Karimian, being a member of the Editorial Board, did not participate in any part of the manuscript's handling process. Independent reviewers were appointed by the Editorial Board to evaluate the paper without her awareness.

Funding/Support
This research did not receive any outside funding or support.

Availability of Data and Materials
Due to the nature of the research, supporting data is not available.

References
1   Chakraborty C, Pal S, Bhattacharya M, Dash S, Lee SS. Overview of Chatbots with special emphasis on artificial intelligence-enabled ChatGPT in medical science. Front Artif Intell. 2023;6:1237704. doi: 10.3389/frai.2023.1237704. PubMed PMID: 38028668; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC10644239.

2   Luo M, Warren CJ, Cheng L, Abdul-Muhsin HM, Banerjee I. Assessing empathy in large language models with real-world physician-patient interactions. Proceedings of the 2024 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData). 2024 Dec 15-18; Washington, US. USA: IEEE; 2024. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2405.16402.

3   Sanjeewa R, Iyer R, Apputhurai P, Wickramasinghe N, Meyer D. Empathic Conversational Agent Platform Designs and Their Evaluation in the Context of Mental Health: Systematic Review. JMIR Ment Health. 2024;11:e58974. doi: 10.2196/58974. PubMed PMID: 39250799; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC11420590.

4   Sorin V, Brin D, Barash Y, Konen E, Charney A, Nadkarni G, Klang E. Large Language Models and Empathy: Systematic Review. J Med Internet Res. 2024;26:e52597. doi: 10.2196/52597. PubMed PMID: 39661968; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMC11669866.

5   Coheur L. From Eliza to Siri and Beyond. Information Processing and Management of Uncertainty in Knowledge-Based Systems. 2020;1237:29–41. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-50146-4_3. PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7274308.

6   Weizenbaum J. ELIZA - a computer program for the study of natural language communication between man and machine. Commun. ACM. 1966;9:36–45. doi: 10.1145/365153.365168.

7   Laranjo L, Dunn AG, Tong HL, Kocaballi AB, Chen J, Bashir R, Surian D, Gallego B, Magrabi F, Lau AYS, Coiera E. Conversational agents in healthcare: a systematic review. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2018;25(9):1248-58. doi: 10.1093/jamia/ocy072. PubMed PMID: 30010941; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6118869.

8   Milne-Ives M, de Cock C, Lim E, Shehadeh MH, de Pennington N, Mole G, Normando E, Meinert E. The Effectiveness of Artificial Intelligence Conversational Agents in Health Care: Systematic Review. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(10):e20346. doi: 10.2196/20346. PubMed PMID: 33090118; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7644372.

9   Schachner T, Keller R, V Wangenheim F. Artificial Intelligence-Based Conversational Agents for Chronic Conditions: Systematic Literature Review. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(9):e20701. doi: 10.2196/20701. PubMed PMID: 32924957; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7522733.

10   Shen J, DiPaola D, Ali S, Sap M, Park HW, Breazeal C. Empathy Toward Artificial Intelligence Versus Human Experiences and the Role of Transparency in Mental Health and Social Support Chatbot Design: Comparative Study. JMIR Ment Health. 2024;11:e62679. doi: 10.2196/62679. PubMed PMID: 39321450; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC11464935.

11   De Gennaro M, Krumhuber EG, Lucas G. Effectiveness of an Empathic Chatbot in Combating Adverse Effects of Social Exclusion on Mood. Front Psychol. 2020;10:3061. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.03061. PubMed PMID: 32038415; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6989433.

12   Liu T, Giorgi S, Aich A, Lahnala A, Curtis B, Ungar L, Sedoc J. The illusion of empathy: How AI Chatbots Shape Conversation Perception. [Internet]. arXiv. [Preprint]. 2025 [cited 2025 Jun 29]: 12 p. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2411.12877.

13   Seitz L. Artificial Empathy in Healthcare Chatbots: Does it Feel Authentic?. CHBAH. 2024;2(1):100067. doi: 10.1016/j.chbah.2024.100067.

14   Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nat Med. 2019;25(1):44-56. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7. PubMed PMID: 30617339.

15   Lin HL, Wang YC, Huang ML, Yu NW, Tang I, Hsu YC, Huang YS. Can virtual reality technology be used for empathy education in medical students: a randomized case-control study. BMC Med Educ. 2024;24(1):1254. doi: 10.1186/s12909-024-06009-6. PubMed PMID: 39497110; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC11536554.