Original Article

Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technologies 2025: 11(2): 163-172.

Trends in Pharmaceu

Design, Synthesis, Molecular Docking of Novel Quinazolinone-azole Derivatives as Anticancer A gents

Leila Emami¹, Ph.D, Sara Sadeghian¹; Ph.D, Maryam Moghrader Mansouri¹; Ph.D, Razieh Sabet¹; Ph.D, Zeinab Faghih²; Ph.D, Sedigheh Halimi¹; Ph.D, Soghra Khabnadideh^{1,2*D}; Ph.D, Zahra Rezaei^{1*D}; Ph.D

¹Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sceinces, Shiraz, Iran. ²Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

Abstract

Cancer encompasses a diverse group of diseases characterized by uncontrolled cell division, leading to immune system impairment and the potential to metastasize to other regions of the body. Globally, cancer represents a significant threat to public health, ranking as the second most prevalent cause of death following cardiovascular diseases. Quinazoline and azole derivatives are important classes of compounds in medicinal chemistry with a wide variety of biological activities. Here, five quinazoline-azole hybrids were designed and synthesized as cytotoxic agents. The chemical structures of new compounds were confirmed using spectroscopic methods. Molecular docking studies were done on epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) as a potential target for quinazoline and azole derivatives. The binding energies and interactions of these ligands toward the active site of EGFR were analyzed in comparison with erlotinib. Interestingly, all compounds showed lower binding energies than erlotinib. *In silico* physicochemical parameters and ADME profiling calculations were also performed.

Keywords: Quinazoline, Azole, Cytotoxic agent, EGFR.

Please cite this article as: Emami L, Sadeghian S, Moghtader Mansouri M, Sabet R, Faghih Z, Halimi S, et al. Drug Utilization Design, synthesis, molecular docking of novel quinazolinone-azole derivatives as anticancer agents. Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technologies. 2025;11(2):163-172. doi: 10.30476/tips.2025.105887.1285

Copyright: ©Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technologies. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. This license allows reusers to copy and distribute the material in any medium or format in unadapted form only, and only so long as attribution is given to the creator. The license allows for commercial use.

1. Introduction

Cancer is generic term for many diseases that causes cells to divide without control and damage to the immune system and spread to other part of body. Cancer as the second leading causes of mortality, after cardiovascular diseases, is a great concern for human health worldwide (1, 2). Currently, chemotherapy that involves the use of cytotoxic agents to eliminate cancer cells is the major method employed in the management of numerous kinds of cancer. However, cytotoxic effect of most of the chemotherapeutic agents

Corresponding Author: Soghra Khabnadideh & Zahra Rezaei, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sceinces, Shiraz, Iran.

Email address: khabns@sums.ac.ir & rezaeiza@sums.ac.ir

are not specific for cancer cells and they can also affect the normal cells and thus use of them have been associated with various organ toxicity that often limit the efficiency of chemotherapy agents (2). Therefore, the discovery and development of potent and selective anticancer agents with minimal side effects is of great value in cancer therapy (3). Quinazoline scaffolds and their bioisosteres are recognized as a useful and significant scaffold in drug design and are privilege structure to medicinal chemists, Because of broad spectrum of biological potential like antidiabetic (4), anti-cancer (5) anti-histaminic (6), antiinflammatory (7), antibacterial (8), antifungal (9) and antiviral activities (10). Imidazole and

triazole derivatives have been identified as a potentially advantageous class of anticancer agents with significant therapeutic potential. (11). Triazole compounds have different mechanisms of action for their anticancer effects, such as inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), microtubule synthesis, aromatase, and Poly-ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) (12). Furthermore, there are already some imidazole-based drugs including Dacarbazine, Zoledronic acid, Nilotinib, Tipifarnib, and Abemaciclib to treat neoplastic diseases (13, 14). Therefore, guinazoline and azole scaffolds could have contributed to build an enormous number of chemical compounds with cytotoxic activity particularly through EGFR inhibition (15-17). Since EGFR is frequently overexpressed in several cancer types, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), and colorectal cancer (18), it has rationalized the development of a number of specific targeted therapeutics. Currently, two classes of EGFR-specific cancer drugs are used in treatment of cancer: monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), which bind to the extracellular domain of the transmembrane receptor and small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), which interact with the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) binding site (19, 20). In this study five quinazolinone-azole compounds were synthesized as EGFR inhibitors and molecular docking was done to explore interactions and binding modes of synthesized compounds against the EGFR as plausible target. Physicochemical properties and ADME profile of all synthesized compounds were also calculated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemistry

All solvents and chemical substance were purchased from Merck Company (analytical grade) and used without further purification. Melting points and IR spectra of all compounds were determined using Electrothermal 9200 apparatus and a VERTEX70 spectrometer, respectively. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER DRX-400 AVANCE (Bruker, Germany) instrument using $CDCl_3$ as deuterated solvent and with an internal standard of tetramethylsilane at 500 and 125 MHz, respectively. Mass spectra were recorded on Mass instrument using (M++1) mode.

2.1.1. General procedure for the synthesis of 2-(chloromethyl)-4H-benzo[d][1,3]oxazin-4-one (3).

A solution of anthranilic acid (1) (1 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL) was added to diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (1.5 mmol) and then 1.2 mmol of chloroacetyl chloride (2) was added dropwise for 20 minutes at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was washed with water and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 \times 20 mL) and the organic layers dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The filtered evaporated by rotary evaporator (Scheme 1).

2.1.2. General procedure for the synthesis of 2-(chloromethyl)-3-substituted quinazoline-4(3H)-one (5).

Intermediate 5 was synthesized using 3-chloroanilines (4) and compound 3 (1 mmol) in the presence of PCl₃ (1.5 mmol) in acetonitrile (CH₃CN) at 60 °C for 2 hours. After completion of the reaction, a saturated NaHCO₃ solution was added and then the product (5) extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 20 mL). The organic layers were dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and the crude products were purified by recrystallization with ethanol (Scheme 1).

2.1.3. General procedure for the synthesis of 2-((1H-azolyl)methyl)-3-(3-chlorophenyl) quinazolin-4(3H)-one (7a-7e).

One mmol of azole compounds (6a-6e) were added to a solution of trimethylamine 1mmol, anhydrous potassium carbonate 1mmol and 1 mmol of compound 5 in acetonitrile and then stirred for 24 hours at reflux temperature. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was evaporated and purified by column chromatography using chloroform/n-hexane (25/75) as an eluent.

2.1.4. Spectral data 2.1.4.1. 2-((1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl)-3-(3chlorophenyl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one (7a)

Chemical Formula: C₁₈H₁₃C₁N₄O, Molecular Weight: 336.78, Yield: 78%. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz,CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 8.25 – 8.19 (m, 1H), 7.79 (td, J= 8.0, 7.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.71-7.69 (m, 1H), 7.54-7.48 (m, 21H), 7.43 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.13 – 7.11 (m, 2H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.99 – 6.96 (m, 1H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 4.88 (s, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 161.68, 149.35, 146.54, 137.95, 137.40, 136.53, 135.82, 135.11, 131.16, 130.27, 128.89, 128.47, 128.18, 127.84, 127.09, 126.45, 119.21, 50.33. MS (EI), m/z (%): 336.5(60), 316.6(29), 284.6(19), 255.4(68), 149.3(23), 11.6(35), 71.3(56), 57.3(100), 43.3(90). IR (KBr)Umax (cm⁻¹): Ar-H aromatic: 3125, 3065 cm⁻¹; CH2: 2923, 2853 cm⁻¹; C=O amide: 1678 cm⁻¹; C=N: 1611cm⁻¹ ¹: C-C aromatic: 1585, 1421 cm⁻¹; CH2:1472 cm⁻¹; Ar-N: 1351, 1272 cm⁻¹; C-N: 1229, 1076 cm⁻¹, C-Cl: 770 cm⁻¹.

2.1.4.2. 2-((1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl)-3-(3-chlorophenyl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one (7b)

Chemical Formula: C₁₇H₁₂C₁N₅O, Molecular Weight: 337.77, yield: 62%, MP: 161-163 °C. ¹H- NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 8.29 - 8.27 (m, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.85 – 7.77 (m, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (t, J= 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 - 7.10 (m, 1H), 5.17 (s, 2H).¹³C- NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 161.65, 151.97, 148.43, 146.55, 144.39, 136.62, 135.99, 135.06, 131.21, 130.39, 128.59, 128.15, 127.92, 127.13, 126.47, 121.01, 52.29. MS (EI), m/z (%): 377.5(82), 316.6(53), 268.4(13), 255.4(100), 191.5(13), 111.3(34), 57.3(100). IR (KBr)Umax (cm⁻¹): Ar-H aromatic: 3120 cm⁻¹; CH2: 2985, 2954, 2921, 2852 cm⁻¹; C=O amide: 1682 cm⁻¹; C=N: 1609cm⁻¹; C-C aromatic: 1586, 1505, 1438 cm⁻¹; CH₂: 1471 cm⁻¹; Ar-N: 1348, 1276 cm⁻¹; C-N: 1211, 1111, 1015 cm⁻¹, C-Cl: 775 cm⁻¹.

2.1.4.3 2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl) methyl)-3-(3-chlorophenyl)quinazolin-4(3H)one (7c)

Chemical Formula: C₂₂H₁₅C₁N₄O , Molecular Weight: 386.84, Yield: 81%, MP: 174-177 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.24 - 8.23 (m, 1H), 7.81 - 7.77 (m, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 7.5Hz, 1H), 7.48 – 7.45 (m, 1H), 7.40 – 7.36 (m, 2H), 7.26 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 7.8Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 161.71, 149.05, 146.49, 143.22, 143.03, 136.62, 135.95, 133.54, 131.19, 130.27, 135.09. 128.33. 127.90, 127.13, 126.39, 123.44, 128.17, 121.02, 120.40, 109.76, 48.91. 122.64, MS (EI), m/z (%): 386.6 (100), 387.6 (42), 255.4(30), 131.4(30). IR (KBr)Umax (cm⁻¹): Ar-H aromatic: 3062 cm⁻¹; CH₂: 2921, 2851 cm⁻¹; C=O amide: 1678 cm⁻¹; C=N: 1610cm⁻¹ ¹; C-C aromatic: 1585, 1498, 1430 cm⁻¹; CH₂:1462 cm⁻¹; Ar-N: 1335, 1262 cm⁻¹; C-N: 1207, 1182 cm⁻¹, C-Cl: 767cm⁻¹.

2.1.4.4. 3-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-((2-methyl-1Hbenzo[d]imidazol-1-yl)methyl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one (7d)

Chemical Formula: $C_{23}H_{17}C_1N_4O$, Molecular Weight: 400.87, yield: 82.5%, MP: 179-182 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 8.27 – 8.21 (m, 1H), 7.77 – 7.72 (m, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J =8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.52 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.47 (d, J =7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.23 – 7.19 (m, 1H), 7.16 – 7.12 (m, 1H), 7.07 – 7.04 (m, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H).¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 174.43, 161.81, 152.26, 148.90, 146.51, 141.71, 136.72, 136.13, 135.03, 134.78, 131.39, 130.40,

128.28, 127.99, 127.95, 127.03, 126.27, 122.55, 122.43, 120.84, 118.94, 108.88, 46.93, 13.42. MS (EI), m/z (%): 400.6(8), 256.5(10), 132.3(26), 60.3(80), 43.3(100) IR (KBr)Umax (cm⁻¹): Ar-H aromatic: 3061 cm⁻¹; CH₂: 2925, 2853 cm⁻¹; C=O amide: 1680 cm⁻¹; C=N: 1610cm⁻¹; C-C aromatic: 1585, 1531, 1406 cm⁻¹; CH₂:1466 cm⁻¹; Ar-N: 1333, 1269 cm⁻¹; C-N: 1255, 1227, 1078 cm⁻¹, C-Cl: 769 cm⁻¹.

3.1.4.5 3-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-((5,6-dimethyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl)methyl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one (7e)

Chemical Formula: C₂₄H₁₉C₁N₄O, Molecular Weight: 414.89, Yield: 82%, MP: 180-184 °C ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta(\text{ppm})$: 8.29 – 8.21 (m, 1H), 7.86 – 7.81 (m, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.59 – 7.55 (m, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.50 – 7.47 (m, 1H), 7.39 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 6.93 (s, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 5.13 (s, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, ³H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ(ppm): 161.77, 150.52, 149.33, 146.57, 142.12, 136.69, 135.97, 135.09, 132.56, 132.05, 131.49, 131.15, 130.14, 128.38, 128.14, 127.87, 127.16, 126.49, 121.06, 120.38, 109.81, 48.86, 20.58, 20.22. MS (EI), m/z (%): 414.6(100), 255.4(14), 159.4(30). IR (KBr)Umax (cm⁻¹): Ar-H aromatic: 3072, 3029 cm⁻¹; CH2: 2971, 2942, 2917 cm⁻¹; C=O amide: 1679 cm⁻¹; C=N: 1612cm⁻¹; C-C aromatic: 1586, 1493, 1434 cm⁻¹; CH₂:1471 cm⁻ ¹; Ar-N: 1315, 1277 cm⁻¹; C-N: 1257, 1224, 1183 cm⁻¹, C-Cl: 778 cm⁻¹.

2.2. Molecular Docking

Molecular docking was done using Autodock Vina software. In order to docking procedure EGFR was selected as a target. EGFR with erlotinib (PDB ID: 1M17) was obtained from Protein Data Bank (PDB database; http://www.rcsb.org). In the first step water molecules and cocrystal ligand (erlotinib) were removed, and the enzyme save as PDBQT format using MGLTools 1.5.6 software. All new synthesized compounds as well as erlotinib and nilotinib were drawn and optimized in HyperChem Professional version 8 (Hypercube Inc., Gainesville, FL, USA) and then geometry optimization was done by Molecular Mechanic (MM+) method. The optimized structures were converted to PDBOT using MGLTools. Finally, all five investigated compounds were characterized by a docking mode in the active site of EGFR. The docking mod was performed by an in-house batch script (DOCK-FACE), based on the Lamarckian genetic algorithm, in a parallel mode, using all system resources, as described in our recent studies. The grid box of $70 \times 70 \times 70$ Å was centered with a spacing of 0.375 Å to accommodate ligand in a respectable orientation. For internal validation, erlotinib was excluded from the PDB structure of receptor and was docked the same as examined ligands. Root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) value obtained below of 2 Å showed the validity of docking (33).

2.3. *Physicochemical parameter (ADME) prediction*

The pharmacokinetic parameters are important to determine safety of drugs and are described by main physicochemical characteristics such as Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and Excretion (ADME). These parameters were predicted using the http://www. swissadme.ch/ server.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Design Approach

Erlotinib and Gefitinib are the most potent and selective EGFR inhibitor which have quinazoline scaffold (Figure 1), In recent years, azole derivatives have attracted much interest as potent anticancer agents (21). Among the various azole derivatives, triazole, tetrazol and pyrazole indicated the best anticancer activity (22, 23). Nilotinib contains an imidazole component and acts as a potent Bcr-Abl tyrosine kinase inhibitor (24-26). Different stud-



Design of some quinazoline derivatives as anti cancer agents

Figure 1. Schematic of designed compounds.

ies reported the significant of azole scaffold as novel anticancer agents (27-29). For example, structure I (30) exhibited promising cytotoxicity against A549 (human lung carcinoma), MCF7 breast carcinoma, Structure II, a benzothiazole-piperazine-1,2,3-triazole hybrid, demonstrated promising anticancer activity against DU145 prostate carcinoma and HeLa cervical carcinoma cell lines (IC50 \leq 50 μ M) values, these results support its potential for further development as a therapeutic agents. (31).

Recently, pharmaceutical researchers have drawn attention to the design of more effective anticancer agents in order to save time and money based on hybridization of potent scaffold. In this regard, there is growing interest in quinazoline scaffolds and azole compounds as anticancer agents. Given the increasing focus on hybridization approaches, we introduced the recent hybridization of the quinazolinone scaffold with azole moieties (7a-7e).

3.2. Chemistry

Synthesis of the quinazoline-azole hybrids (7a-7e) were carried out via three steps (Scheme 1). In the first step, anthranilic acid (1) with chloroacetyl chloride (2) reacted to 2-chloromethyl benzoxazine-4-one (3) in the presence of a catalytic amount of diisopropyl ethyl amine (DIPEA) in dichloromethane (DCM) for 2 hours at room temperature. Next, a nucleophilic attack occurred between 3 and 3-chloroanilines (4) to give 2-(chloromethyl)-3-m-chloroaniline substituted quinazoline-4(3H)-one derivatives (5) under acidic conditions at 60 °C. The third step of the reaction proceeded by replacing the chlorine atom at the side chain with azole derivatives (6a-6e) in the presence of K_2CO_3 and thriethylamine in acetonitrile for 24 hours to obtain final products (7a-7e). The products (7a-7e) were purified on a chromatography plate, using silicagel and 25% chloroform in n-hexane as an eluent (yield 62-82.5 %). The chemical structures of all compounds were confirmed by IR, ¹H-



Figure 2. Scheme route of synthesized compounds (7a-7e).

NMR, ¹³C-NMR, and Mass Spectrometry. Spectroscopic data are presented in experimental section.

3.3. Molecular docking studies

As previously mentioned, EGFR is the main target of quinazoline derivatives as cytotoxic agents, molecular docking studies was performed to evaluate their interactions and conformations of compounds against EGFR enzyme. The results including the estimated free binding energy (Kcal.mol⁻¹) of ligandcomplex, and also possible interactions with the main amino acid residues at the active site of the enzyme are shown in Table 1, Figure 3 and 4.

All of the compounds showed comparable docking scores with that of the cocrystallized ligand, (Erlotinib) with binding energies lower than -7.1 kcal/mol, indicating their favorable binding to the EGFR target. The range of the binding energy values were showed between -7.4 to -8.5 Kcal.mol⁻¹.

2D and 3D interaction of the Erlotinib is shown in Figure 3. The nitrogen atom of quinazoline ring and the oxygen atoms of the ether chains involved in hydrogen bond interactions with the residues of Lys721, Cys733, Met769. Other important interactions of Erlotinib are π -sigma, π -stacking and π -anion bonds of quinazoline motif rings with Val702, Phe699, and Asp831 residues (Figure 3). 2D interactions of all synthesized compounds (7a-7e) are shown in Figure 4. For compound 7a, quinazoline moiety formed hydrogen bond and π -sigma with Ser 223, and the imidazole ring involved in π -anion with Asp 227 residue. 7b and 7c have similar interaction like, the quinazoline moiety formed three interactions such as hydrogen bond, π -sigma and π -anion with Lys 56, Phe 34 and Asp 166 residue amino acid. Also, the triazole ring of 7b forms hy-

Table 1. The binding energies kcal.mol-1 of the tested compounds on EGFR (1M17) using AutoDock vina.

| Entry | 7a | 7b | 7c | 7d | 7e | Erlotinib |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| 1M17 | -7.4 | -7.9 | -8.4 | -8.1 | -8.5 | -7.1 |



Figure 3. 2D and 3D interaction of the Erlotinib as the co-crystal ligand of IM17.

drogen bond interaction with the Lys 56 and benzimidazole ring of 7c involved in π -anion interaction with Glu 69. On the other hand, compound 7d formed hydrogen bond through the carbonyl group of quinazoline moiety with Gln 293. Also, the quinazoline ring of 7e involved in π -anion interactions with Asp 166 and π -sigma with Phe 34 and hydrogen bond with Lys 56. Finally, the 6, 7 di Me benzimidazole have π -anion interaction with Glu 69.

3.4. ADME properties

A valuable tool to the design and discovery of new candidate as drug is Lipinski's Rule of 5. Oral bioavailability is a key determinant of drug efficacy, and therefore, accurately assessing it is essential for selecting compounds with the potential to achieve therapeutic concentrations *in vivo* (32). The



Figure 4. The interaction of the synthesized compounds in the active site of EGFR (PDB code 1M17).

| Table 2. Physicochemical properties of studied fightlds. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------|-----|-----|-----------|------|----------|--|--|--|
| Compounds | MW (g/mol) | LogP | HBD | HBA | TPSA (Å2) | n-RB | Lipinski | | | |
| 7a | violation | | | | | | | | | |
| 7b | 336.78 | 2.52 | 0 | 3 | 52.71 | 3 | 0 | | | |
| 7c | 337.76 | 2.69 | 0 | 4 | 65.60 | 3 | 0 | | | |
| 7d | 386.83 | 3.50 | 0 | 3 | 52.71 | 3 | 0 | | | |
| 7e | 400.86 | 4.38 | 0 | 3 | 52.71 | 3 | 0 | | | |
| Rule of Lipinski | 414.89 | 4.59 | 0 | 3 | 52.71 | 3 | 0 | | | |
| | ≤500 | ≤5 | ≤5 | ≤10 | ≤140 | ≤10 | ≤1 | | | |

Table 2. Physicochemical properties of studied ligands.

molecular weight must be less than 500 Daltons to permeability across cell membranes. The number of hydrogen bond acceptor and donor atoms should be fewer than 5 to exist well absorbability. The logarithm of the octanol-water partition coefficient (LogP) should be less than 5 to show desire oral absorption. Although Total Polar Surface Area (TPSA) showed improved in oral absorption. As shown in Table 2, all synthesized compounds confirm to Lipinski's Rule of Five and can be administer as oral candidate.

4. Conclusion

Some of the new quinazoline-azole hybrids were successfully synthesized and characterized by ¹H-NMR, ¹³CNMR IR and MASS spectroscopy. Molecular docking studies of the synthesized compounds on EGFR (1M17) revealed that they form more favorable interactions within the active site than Erlotinib, including key hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic contacts, and Van der Waals forces.All of the compounds comply the lipiniski rule.

References

1. Shaikh SKJ, Kamble RR, Somagond SM, Devarajegowda HC, Dixit SR, Joshi SD. Tetrazolylmethyl quinolines: Design, docking studies, synthesis, anticancer and antifungal analyses. *Eur J Med Chem*. 2017 Mar 10;128:258-273. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.01.043. Epub 2017 Feb 1. PMID: 28192709.

2. Altıntop MD, Atlı Ö, Ilgın S, Demirel R, Özdemir A, Kaplancıklı ZA. Synthesis and biological evaluation of new naphthalene substituted thiosemicarbazone derivatives as potent antifungal and anticancer agents. *Eur J Med*

These findings suggest that quinazolinoneazole hybrids, such as 7c and 7e, have potential for further development as novel anticancer agents.

Acknowledgements

Financial support of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Shiraz, I.R. Iran) through the Grant No.16987 is appreciated.

Authors' Contributions

The study conception and design were performed by Leila Emami, Soghra Khabnadideh, and Zahra Rezaei. Data analysis was conducted by Sara Sadeghian and Zeinab Faghih. Maryam Moghtader Mansouri and Sedigheh Halimi conducted the analysis spectra data and biological section. Razieh Sabet and all authors, contributed to the manuscript's drafting and critical review.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Chem. 2016 Jan 27;108:406-414. doi: 10.1016/j. ejmech.2015.11.041. Epub 2015 Nov 30. PMID: 26706351.

3. Grishko VV, Tolmacheva IA, Nebogatikov VO, Galaiko NV, Nazarov AV, Dmitriev MV, Ivshina IB. Preparation of novel ring-A fused azole derivatives of betulin and evaluation of their cytotoxicity. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2017 Jan 5;125:629-639. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.09.065. Epub 2016 Sep 21. PMID: 27721148.

4. Ram VJ, Farhanullah, Tripathi BK, Srivastava AK. Synthesis and antihyperglycemic activity of suitably functionalized 3H-quinazolin-4-ones.

Design of some quinazoline derivatives as anti cancer agents

Bioorg Med Chem. 2003 May 29;11(11):2439-44. doi: 10.1016/s0968-0896(03)00142-1. PMID: 12735990.

5. Abbas SE, Awadallah FM, Ibrahin NA, Said EG, Kamel GM. New quinazolinone-pyrimidine hybrids: synthesis, anti-inflammatory, and ulcerogenicity studies. *Eur J Med Chem*. 2012 Jul;53:141-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.03.050. Epub 2012 Apr 9. PMID: 22551678.

6. Iemura R, Hori M, Saito T, Ohtaka H. Bioisosteric transformation of H1-antihistaminic benzimidazole derivatives. *Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo)*. 1989 Oct;37(10):2723-6. doi: 10.1248/cpb.37.2723. PMID: 2575462.

7. Amin KM, Kamel MM, Anwar MM, Khedr M, Syam YM. Synthesis, biological evaluation and molecular docking of novel series of spiro [(2H,3H) quinazoline-2,1'- cyclohexan]-4(1H)one derivatives as anti-inflammatory and analgesic agents. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2010 Jun;45(6):2117-31. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2009.12.078. Epub 2010 Jan 21. PMID: 20137837.

8. Grover G, Kini SG. Synthesis and evaluation of new quinazolone derivatives of nalidixic acid as potential antibacterial and antifungal agents. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2006 Feb;41(2):256-62. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2005.09.002. Epub 2005 Nov 2. PMID: 16260068.

9. Liu JF, Wilson CJ, Ye P, Sprague K, Sargent K, Si Y, et al. Privileged structure-based quinazolinone natural product-templated libraries: identification of novel tubulin polymerization inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2006 Feb;16(3):686-90. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2005.10.022. Epub 2005 Oct 27. PMID: 16257201.

10. Dinakaran M, Selvam P, DeClercq E, Sridhar SK. Synthesis, antiviral and cytotoxic activity of 6-bromo-2,3-disubstituted-4(3H)-quinazolinones. *Biol Pharm Bull*. 2003 Sep;26(9):1278-82. doi: 10.1248/bpb.26.1278. PMID: 12951471.

11. Al-Blewi F, Shaikh SA, Naqvi A, Aljohani F, Aouad MR, Ihmaid S, et al. Design and Synthesis of Novel Imidazole Derivatives Possessing Triazole Pharmacophore with Potent Anticancer Activity, and In Silico ADMET with GSK- 3β Molecular Docking Investigations. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021 Jan 25;22(3):1162. doi: 10.3390/ijms22031162. PMID: 33503871; PMCID: PMC7866082.

12. Alam MM. 1,2,3-Triazole hybrids as anticancer agents: A review. *Arch Pharm (Wein-* *heim*). 2022 Jan;355(1):e2100158. doi: 10.1002/ ardp.202100158. Epub 2021 Sep 24. PMID: 34559414.

13. Sharma P, LaRosa C, Antwi J, Govindarajan R, Werbovetz KA. Imidazoles as Potential Anticancer Agents: An Update on Recent Studies. *Molecules*. 2021 Jul 11;26(14):4213. doi: 10.3390/ molecules26144213. PMID: 34299488; PMCID: PMC8307698.

14. Kumar N, Goel N. Recent development of imidazole derivatives as potential anticancer agents. *Phys Sci Rev.* 2023;8(10):2903-41. doi: 10.1515/psr-2021-0041

15. Das R, Mehta DK, Dhanawat M. Bestowal of Quinazoline Scaffold in Anticancer Drug Discovery. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem.* 2021;21(11):1350-1368. doi: 10.2174/187152062 0666200627205321. PMID: 32593282.

16. Şandor A, Ionuţ I, Marc G, Oniga I, Eniu D, Oniga O. Structure-Activity Relationship Studies Based on Quinazoline Derivatives as EGFR Kinase Inhibitors (2017-Present). *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. 2023 Apr 3;16(4):534. doi: 10.3390/ph16040534. PMID: 37111291; PMCID: PMC10141396.

17. Biswas T, Mittal RK, Sharma V, Kanupriya, Mishra I. Nitrogen-fused Heterocycles: Empowering Anticancer Drug Discovery. *Med Chem.* 2024;20(4):369-384. doi: 10.2174/011573406427 8334231211054053. PMID: 38192143.

18. Rehmani HS, Issaeva N. EGFR in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: exploring possibilities of novel drug combinations. *Ann Transl Med.* 2020 Jul;8(13):813. doi: 10.21037/ atm.2020.04.07. PMID: 32793658; PMCID: PMC7396252.

19. Seshacharyulu P, Ponnusamy MP, Haridas D, Jain M, Ganti AK, Batra SK. Targeting the EGFR signaling pathway in cancer therapy. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2012 Jan;16(1):15-31. doi: 10.1517/14728222.2011.648617. Epub 2012 Jan 12. PMID: 22239438; PMCID: PMC3291787. 20. Shaban N, Kamashev D, Emelianova A, Buzdin A. Targeted Inhibitors of EGFR: Structure, Biology, Biomarkers, and Clinical Applications. Cells. 2023 Dec 25;13(1):47. doi: 10.3390/ 38201251; cells13010047. PMID: PMCID: PMC10778338.

21. Jabir NR, Firoz CK, Bhushan A, Tabrez S, Kamal MA. The Use of Azoles Containing Natu-

ral Products in Cancer Prevention and Treatment: An Overview. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem.* 2018;18(1):6-14. doi: 10.2174/187152061666616 0520112839. PMID: 27198985.

22. Liang Z, Xu H, Tian Y, Guo M, Su X, Guo C. Design, Synthesis and Antifungal Activity of Novel Benzofuran-Triazole Hybrids. *Molecules*. 2016 Jun 7;21(6):732. doi: 10.3390/ molecules21060732. PMID: 27338311; PMCID: PMC6274255.

23. Wu J, Ni T, Chai X, Wang T, Wang H, Chen J, et al. Molecular docking, design, synthesis and antifungal activity study of novel triazole derivatives. *Eur J Med Chem*. 2018 Jan 1;143:1840-1846. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.10.081. Epub 2017 Nov 11. PMID: 29133044.

24. Blay JY, von Mehren M. Nilotinib: a novel, selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor. *Semin Oncol.* 2011 Apr;38 Suppl 1(0 1):S3-9. doi: 10.1053/j. seminoncol.2011.01.016. Erratum in: Semin Oncol. 2011 Jun;38(3):467. PMID: 21419934; PM-CID: PMC4004101.

25. Rix U, Hantschel O, Dürnberger G, Remsing Rix LL, Planyavsky M, Fernbach NV, et al. Chemical proteomic profiles of the BCR-ABL inhibitors imatinib, nilotinib, and dasatinib reveal novel kinase and nonkinase targets. *Blood*. 2007 Dec 1;110(12):4055-63. doi: 10.1182/ blood-2007-07-102061. Epub 2007 Aug 24. PMID: 17720881.

26. Morales-Vilchis MG, Flores-Sánchez P, Escalante J. Identification, synthesis and structure assignment of two impurities of Erlotinib, a drug used for the treatment of some types of cancer. *J Mex Chem Soc.* 2019;63(1):43-9.

27. El-Sherief HAM, Youssif BGM, Abbas Bukhari SN, Abdelazeem AH, Abdel-Aziz M, Abdel-Rahman HM. Synthesis, anticancer activity and molecular modeling studies of 1,2,4-triazole derivatives as EGFR inhibitors. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2018 Aug 5;156:774-789. doi: 10.1016/j. ejmech.2018.07.024. Epub 2018 Jul 10. PMID: 30055463. 28. Tokala R, Bale S, Janrao IP, Vennela A, Kumar NP, Senwar KR, Godugu C, Shankaraiah N. Synthesis of 1,2,4-triazole-linked urea/ thiourea conjugates as cytotoxic and apoptosis inducing agents. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2018 Jun 1;28(10):1919-1924. doi: 10.1016/j. bmcl.2018.03.074. Epub 2018 Mar 28. PMID: 29657100.

29. Nagender P, Naresh Kumar R, Malla Reddy G, Krishna Swaroop D, Poornachandra Y, Ganesh Kumar C, et al. Synthesis of novel hydrazone and azole functionalized pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyridine derivatives as promising anticancer agents. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2016 Sep 15;26(18):4427-4432. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.08.006. Epub 2016 Aug 4. PMID: 27528432.

30. Pham Thi T, Le Nhat TG, Ngo Hanh T, Luc Quang T, Pham The C, Dang Thi TA, et al, Nguyen TH, Hoang Thi P, Van Nguyen T. Synthesis and cytotoxic evaluation of novel indenoisoquinoline-substituted triazole hybrids. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2016 Aug 1;26(15):3652-7. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.05.092. Epub 2016 Jun 1. PMID: 27342752.

31. Aouad MR, Soliman MA, Alharbi MO, Bardaweel SK, Sahu PK, Ali AA, Messali M, Rezki N, Al-Soud YA. Design, Synthesis and Anticancer Screening of Novel Benzothiazole-Piperazine-1,2,3-Triazole Hybrids. *Molecules*. 2018 Oct 27;23(11):2788. doi: 10.3390/molecules23112788. PMID: 30373247; PMCID: PMC6278665.

32. van de Waterbeemd H, Gifford E. ADMET in silico modelling: towards prediction paradise? *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2003 Mar;2(3):192-204. doi: 10.1038/nrd1032. PMID: 12612645.

33. Emami L, Hassani M, Mardaneh P, Zare F, Saeedi M, Emami M, et al. 6-Bromo quinazoline derivatives as cytotoxic agents: design, synthesis, molecular docking and MD simulation. *BMC Chem.* 2024 Jul 4;18(1):125. doi: 10.1186/ s13065-024-01230-2. PMID: 38965630; PMCID: PMC11225515.